

ConDo 012

Fewer. Bigger. Better?

Analysing the Results of the First Call for Projects for the EU Creative Europe Culture Programme 2014

PLEASE NOTE

This report is available free of charge to Connexus members. If you are reading this and are not a member, please join – for around £20/€25 per annum, you will receive the weekly digest, regular ConDos and other benefits. Connexus receives no public funding and relies on its membership fees to survive, To join, visit: www.culture.info

By **Geoffrey Brown**Director, Euclid

ConDo 012

A CONnexus DOcument – available free to Connexus members (www.culture.info)

Fewer. Bigger. Better?

Analysing the Results of the First Call for Projects for the EU Creative Europe Culture Programme 2014

Geoffrey Brown, Director, Euclid

Table of Contents

- 1. Summary
- 2. Comparison with Previous Programme
 - 2.1. Success Rates
 - 2.2. Numbers of Organisations Involved in Successful Projects
- 3. Analysis By Country
 - 3.1. Successes compared to Numbers Submitted
 - 3.2. Numbers of Projects
 - 3.3. Successes compared to Share of Population
 - 3.4. Numbers of Co-organisers
- 4. Successful Projects
 - 4.1. Large Projects
 - 4.2. Small Projects
- 5. UK Organisations
 - 5.1. Large Projects
 - 5.2. Small Projects

Appendices

Table of Overall Results

Table of Co-Organisers

1.Summary

The results are out for the first call of the projects strand of the new Creative Europe: Culture programme. In summary, the results are as follows:

	Applications	Successes	Success Rate
All projects	411	58	14.11%
Large	74	21	28.38%
Small	337	37	10.98%

So a reasonable success rate for large projects, but very low overall and for small projects.

In fact, there appears to have been a huge shift in emphasis from small to large projects, compared with the previous programme, as will be outlined in the next section.

2. Comparison with Previous Programme

There are significant differences between these latest numbers and success rates and those for the previous programme. The following tables include statistics for the previous Culture programme 2007-2013 (taken from **ConDo 011** which analysed the previous programme in detail), though please note:

- The success rates are for 2009-13 as data for numbers of applicants is not available for 2007-08
- the "small" projects numbers include co-operation projects with third countries, but not festivals.

2.1 Success Rates

	Total			Large			Small		
	Appns	Succ	%age	Appns	Succ	%age	Appns	Succ	%age
2009-2013 (5y)	2270	642	28.28%	291	57	19.59%	1979	585	29.56%
Average p/year	454	128		58	11	-	396	117	
Latest: 2014	411	58	14.11%	74	21	28.38%	337	37	10.98%
Increase/Decrease	-9.5%	-54.7%		+27.6%	+90.1%		-14.9%	-68.4%	

From this table, it can be seen that:

- The overall success rate has HALVED, from 28% to 14%
- The success rate for large projects has gone UP from 20% to 28%
- The success rate for small projects has gone DOWN hugely from 30% to 11%
- While the total number of applications has gone down by 9.5%, the **number of successful projects** has gone **DOWN by a huge 55%**
- While the number of applications for large projects has gone up by 28%, the **number of successful** large projects has gone UP by a huge 90%
- While the number of applications for small projects has gone down by 15%, the number of successful small projects has gone DOWN by a huge 68%

So, fewer projects overall, far fewer small projects, and a huge increase in the number of large projects.

2.2 Numbers of Organisations Involved in Successful Projects

	Total			Large			Small			
	Lead	Co-Org	Total	Lead	Co-Org	Total	Lead	Co-Org	Total	
2007-2013 (7y)	850	3522	4372	77	668	745	773	2854	3627	
Average p/year	122	503	625	11	95	106	110	408	518	
LATEST TOTALS	58	352*	410	21	202	223	37	150	187	
Increase/Decrease	-52.5%	-30.0%	-34.4%	+90.9%	+112.6%	+110.4%	-66.4%	-63.2%	-63.9%	

*includes 9 who are in 2 different projects

From this table:

- The total number of organisations in all successful projects has gone DOWN by 34%
- The total number of organisations in large projects has gone UP by 110%
- The total number of organisations in small projects has gone DOWN by 64%
- The total number of co-organisers has gone DOWN by 30%
- The total number of co-organisers in large projects has gone UP by 113%
- The total number of co-organisers in small projects has gone DOWN by 63%

So, a significant reduction in participating organisations, especially for small projects – but a huge increase for large projects.

3. Analysis By Country

A full breakdown by country is in the table in the Appendix.

3.1 Success Rates Compared to Numbers Submitted

The overall success rate was 14.1%. From the table in the Appendix, it can be seen that overall, in the large countries, **France**, **Germany** and the **UK** have done well (24-25% success rate). For other countries, there are good success rates for **Macedonia** (100% - on the basis of 1 submission), **Netherlands** (42%), **Ireland** (33%), **Sweden** (29%), **Austria** and **Belgium** (18% each).

For large projects, there were 9 successful countries: **Austria** (3), **Belgium** (3), **Germany** (3), **France** (4), **Ireland** (1), **Italy** (3), **Netherlands** (1), **Sweden** (1) and **UK** (2).

3.2 Numbers of Projects

The highest numbers of projects by country were as follows:

- **France** 9
- **Italy** 7 (success rate of just 9.3%, but they submitted a massive 75 applications the next highest were France and Spain, who submitted 38 each, and then Slovenia who submitted 28)
- **Germany** and **UK** 6 each
- Netherlands 5
- Belgium, Spain and Slovenia 4 each
- Austria 3
- Croatia and Sweden 2
- Czech Rep, Denmark, Hungary, Ireland, Macedonia and Portugal 1 each

5 countries (Kosovo, Luxembourg, Montenegro, Malta and **Serbia)** did not submit any projects. The **15 other countries** submitted at least one project but without any success.

Austria, Belgium and Ireland are also interesting in that they have more successful large projects than small ones – 3 large and 0 small for Austria, 3 large and 1 small for Belgium, and 1 large and 0 small for Ireland. This ratio would have been most unusual in the previous programme results.

3.3 Success Rates Compared to Share of Population

Slovenia did very well (again), with 6.9% of projects vs 0.34% of eligible population (i.e. their project share was 20 times their population share). Other countries doing well by this criteria were **Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Macedonia** and the **Netherlands**.

Other countries whose percentage of successful projects was at least the equivalent of their population share were **Denmark, France, Croatia, Hungary, Ireland, Italy** and **Sweden**.

3.4 Numbers of Co-organisers (see Appendix 2)

There were 352 co-organisers listed, though 9 appeared twice, so 343 is more accurate. In this call, most countries had fewer co-organisers in small projects than they had in large projects – in the previous programme, the opposite was true.

Italy and UK had 30 co-organisers, and Spain had 29. Germany had 25, France and Slovenia 21 each, and Belgium 18. Other significant numbers were from Austria (11), Czech Rep (10), Finland (13), Croatia (14), Hungary (10), Norway (9), Poland (16) and Portugal (11).

Of the large countries, only **Spain** had more co-organisers than its share of population. Smaller countries with significantly more co-organisers than their population share were **Austria**, **Belgium**, **Finland**, **Croatia**, **Latvia** and – of course – **Slovenia**.

4.Successful Projects

The successful projects are listed below.

For more information, you can download the various lists and statistical documents prepared by the EC/EACEA from: https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/creative-europe/selection-results/cooperation-projects-selection-results-2014_en

4.1 Large Projects

- 1. [DNA] Departures And Arrivals
- 2. Artecitya
- 3. Be SpectACTive!
- 4. Big Bang an Adventurous Music Project for Children
- 5. Ceramics and its dimensions
- 6. Collab Arts Partnership Programme: Art in social and community contexts
- 7. Community as Opportunity Creative archives' and users' network
- 8. CORNERS turning Europe inside out
- 9. Corpus European network for performance practice
- 10. Eeemerging, Emerging European Ensembles Project
- 11. European Digital Art and Science Network
- 12. European Opera Digital Project
- 13. Human Cities_Challenging the city scale
- 14. PLATFORM shift+
- 15. Sharing a World of Inclusion, Creativity and Heritage
- 16. Small Size, Performing Arts For Early Years
- 17. The Faces Behind the Nose Promoting Hospital Clowning as a Recognized Genre of Performing Arts

- 18. The People's Smart Sculpture
- 19. Towards 2020: Skilling Musicians & Engaging Audiences
- 20. Transbook, Children's Literature On The Move
- 21. Women's creativity since the Modern Movement

4.2 Small Projects

- 1. Balkan Design Network
- 2. Blackboard Music Project
- 3. Changing Weathers
- 4. Common Cultural Connection's
- 5. Creating Other Ways of Dissemination
- 6. Crossing The Line
- 7. Digital Art and Storytelling for Heritage Audience Development
- 8. Elaboration collaborative d'une collection patrimoniale numérique thématisée : les archives européennes d'architecture algérienne
- 9. Ephemeral Heritage of the European Carnival Rituals
- 10. Euro Fabula Loci
- 11. European Artizen Initiative
- 12. European Design Stories
- 13. European Orchestra LABoratory
- 14. Former West, Culminating Phase: Edits, Annotations, Proposals
- 15. Hands From The Past
- 16. Heroes We Love. Ideology, Identity and Socialist Art in New Europe.
- 17. In/visible cities International Festival of Urban Multimedia
- 18. InterFashion
- 19. International Young Makers in Action
- 20. Made@EU
- 21. Masters & Servers: Networked Culture in the Post-Digital Age
- 22. Moving Classics Network For New Music
- 23. New Forms in Mixdoor Performing Arts Practices
- 24. New Open Working process for the performing arts
- 25. Our Little Library
- 26. Performing the Museum
- 27. Playing Identities, Performing Heritage. Theatre, Creolisation, Creation and the Commons.
- 28. Spectrum 14|15
- 29. SYMBOLS Culture of Death & Cultural Life: New audiences and creations around European Cemeteries!
- 30. Take Over
- 31. The Resilience of Art in Liquid Crises
- 32. The Sound of Culture-The Culture of Sound: A European Sound Art Residency Network
- 33. The Underwater Heart of the Mediterranean
- 34. This Is Tomorrow. Back to Basics: Forms and Actions in the Future
- 35. Trust me, I'm an artist
- 36. Virtual Sets: Creating and promoting virtual sets for the performing arts
- 37. Writing Exhibitions/Exhibiting Literature: An Imaginary European Museum

5.UK Organisations

There are a total of **33** UK organisations participating in successful projects in this round as lead partners (6) or co-organisers (27) – and these are listed below. There are actually 36 listed but one organisation appears 3 times (see third bullet point below). The following points are noted:

- The arts organisations include eight in the performing arts, seven in visual arts, three festivals, two
 publishers, and one heritage organisation
- There are eight higher education institutions, two CICs (community interest companies, one of which is a festival), one local authority (Stoke City Council), and one funding body (Arts Council of Northern Ireland)
- Arts organisations range from large (Tate, Royal Opera House) to the smaller scale (e.g. Isis Arts)

- The **Tate Gallery** did well lead partner in a large project and co-organiser in two small projects.
- Of the two UK lead partners in large projects, one has a pan-European role (EU Youth Orchestra)
- The British Council is a lead partner of a small project (and also holds two EC contact point contracts for the UK: as the Creative Europe Desk and for the Erasmus+ programme).
- Nearly all participating organisations were from England and 13 of the 33 are from London there was just one from Scotland, none from Wales, and two from Northern Ireland.

5.1 Large Projects

UK Lead Partner (2)

International Youth Foundation / European Union Youth Orchestra (project #19 in large projects list above) (London) Pilot Theatre Ltd (#14)

UK Co-organisers (19)

Arts Council of Northern Ireland BCB Ltd (? #18)

Emergency Exit Arts (London)

GV Art (independent gallery) (London)

Isis Arts

John Good Limited (publishers)

Live Art Development Agency (London)

London International Festival of Theatre (LIFT) (London)

Nobrow Limited (publishers of illustrated books) (London)

Polka Childrens Theatre Limited (London)

Royal Opera House Covent Garden Ltd (London)

Staffordshire University

Stoke-on-Trent City Council

Tate Gallery (London)

Tate Gallery (London)

University Of Cambridge

University of Ulster (N Ireland)

York Citizens Theatre Trust

York Early Music Foundation

5.2 Small Projects

UK Lead Partner (4)

British Council (project #30 in small projects list above) (London)

Curated Place (#22)

Hampshire & Wight Trust for Maritime Archaeology (#4)

Tate Gallery (#37) (London)

UK Co-organisers (11)

198 Contemporary Arts and Learning (London)

Abandon Normal Devices

Arts Catalyst Ltd (London)

BE Festival CIC

Central School of Speech and Drama (London)

Dundee and Angus College (Scotland)

Goldsmiths' College (London)

Mind the Gap Ltd

Pacificstream Enterprise Solutions CIC

Plymouth College Of Art

University of Kent

Appendix 1: Table of Overall Results

This table shows the split of projects, and also shows the success rates per country, and also shows each country's number as a share of the total number, so this can be compared with that country's share of the total eligible populations.

	Popn		Total				Large				Small			
	Nos	%TOT	Appns	Succ	%age	%ТОТ	Appns	Succ	%age	%TOT	Appns	Succ	%age	%TOT
TOTALS	593.16	100.00	411	58	14.11	100.00	74	21	28.38	100.0	337	37	10.98	100.00
AL Albania	3.16	0.53	1	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00
AT Austria	8.32	1.40	17	3	17.65	5.17	5	3	60.00	14.29	12		0.00	0.00
BA Bosnia	3.84	0.64	1	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00
BE Belgium	10.67	1.79	22	4	18.18	6.90	6	3	50.00	14.29	16	1	6.25	2.70
BG Bulgaria	7.64	1.28	7	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	7		0.00	0.00
CY Cyprus	0.79	0.13	1	0	0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CZ Czech Rep	10.38	1.74	11	1	9.09	1.72			0.00	0.00	11	1	9.09	2.70
DE Germany	82.22	13.79	24	6	25.00	10.34	9	3	33.33	14.29	15	3	20.00	8.11
DK Denmark	5.47	0.92	7	1	14.29	1.72	1		0.00	0.00	6	1	16.67	2.70
EE Estonia	1.34	0.22	4	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	4		0.00	0.00
ES Spain	45.28	7.59	38	4	10.53	6.90	7		0.00	0.00	31	4	12.90	10.81
FI Finland	5.3	0.89	2	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	2		0.00	0.00
FR France	63.98	10.73	38	9	23.68	15.52	14	4	28.57	19.05	24	5	20.83	13.51
GR Greece	11.21	1.88	8	0	0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	7		0.00	0.00
HR Croatia	4.44	0.74	17	2	11.76	3.45	1		0.00	0.00	16	2	12.50	5.41
HU Hungary	10.05	1.69	9	1	11.11	1.72	2		0.00	0.00	7	1	14.29	2.70
IE Ireland	4.4	0.74	3	1	33.33	1.72	1	1	100.00	4.76	2		0.00	0.00
IS Iceland	0.32	0.05	1	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00
IT Italy	59.62	10.00	75	7	9.33	12.07	13	3	23.08	14.29	62	4	6.45	10.81
LI Liechtenstein			0	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
LT Lithuania	3.37	0.57	4	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	4		0.00	0.00
LU Luxembourg	0.48	0.08	0	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
LV Latvia	2.27	0.38	4	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	4		0.00	0.00
ME Montenegro	2.15	0.36	0	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
MK Macedonia	2.05	0.34	1	1	100.00	1.72			0.00	0.00	1	1	100.00	2.70
MT Malta	0.41	0.07	0	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
NL Netherlands	16.41	2.75	12	5	41.67	8.62	2	1	50.00	4.76	10	4	40.00	10.81
NO Norway	4.74	0.79	2	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	2		0.00	0.00
PL Poland	38.12	6.39	11	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11		0.00	0.00
PT Portugal	10.62	1.78	12	1	8.33	1.72	3		0.00	0.00	9	1	11.11	2.70
RO Romania	21.53	3.61	6	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	6		0.00	0.00
RS Serbia	7.37	1.24	7	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	7		0.00	0.00
SE Sweden	9.18	1.54	7	2	28.57	3.45	2	1	50.00	4.76	5	1	20.00	2.70
SI Slovenia	2.01	0.34	28	4	14.29	6.90			0.00	0.00	28	4	14.29	10.81
SK Slovakia	5.4	0.91	4	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	4		0.00	0.00
TR Turkey	70.59	11.84	2	0	0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00
UK	61.19	10.26	25	6	24.00	10.34	5	2	40.00	9.52	20	4	20.00	10.81
XK Kosova	1.8	0.30	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00

Appendix 2: Table of Co-Organisers

This table shows the split of co-organisers, and also shows each country's number as a share of the total number, so this can be compared with that country's share of the total eligible populations.

	Popn		Total		Large		Small	
	Nos	%ТОТ	Co-Orgs	%ТОТ	Co-Orgs	%ТОТ	Co-Orgs	%ТОТ
TOTALS	593.16	100.00	352	100.00	202	100.00	150	100.00
AL Albania	3.16	0.53	1	0.28	0	0.00	1	0.67
AT Austria	8.32	1.40	11	3.13	7	3.47	4	2.67
BA Bosnia	3.84	0.64	2	0.57	0	0.00	2	1.33
BE Belgium	10.67	1.79	18	5.11	13	6.44	5	3.33
BG Bulgaria	7.64	1.28	1	0.28	0	0.00	1	0.67
CY Cyprus	0.79	0.13	4	1.14	2	0.99	2	1.33
CZ Czech Rep	10.38	1.74	10	2.84	7	3.47	3	2.00
DE Germany	82.22	13.79	25	7.10	22	10.89	3	2.00
DK Denmark	5.47	0.92	5	1.42	2	0.99	3	2.00
EE Estonia	1.34	0.22	3	0.85	3	1.49	0	0.00
ES Spain	45.28	7.59	29	8.24	16	7.92	13	8.67
FI Finland	5.3	0.89	13	3.69	8	3.96	5	3.33
FR France	63.98	10.73	21	5.97	13	6.44	8	5.33
GR Greece	11.21	1.88	7	1.99	3	1.49	4	2.67
HR Croatia	4.44	0.74	14	3.98	6	2.97	8	5.33
HU Hungary	10.05	1.69	10	2.84	8	3.96	2	1.33
IE Ireland	4.4	0.74	4	1.14	3	1.49	1	0.67
IS Iceland	0.32	0.05	3	0.85	0	0.00	3	2.00
IT Italy	59.62	10.00	30	8.52	13	6.44	17	11.33
LI Liechtenstein			0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
LT Lithuania	3.37	0.57	4	1.14	2	0.99	2	1.33
LU Luxembourg	0.48	0.08	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
LV Latvia	2.27	0.38	6	1.70	4	1.98	2	1.33
ME Montenegro	2.15	0.36	1	0.28	0	0.00	1	0.67
MK Macedonia	2.05	0.34	3	0.85	2	0.99	1	0.67
MT Malta	0.41	0.07	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
NL Netherlands	16.41	2.75	6	1.70	4	1.98	2	1.33
NO Norway	4.74	0.79	9	2.56	6	2.97	3	2.00
PL Poland	38.12	6.39	16	4.55	7	3.47	9	6.00
PT Portugal	10.62	1.78	11	3.13	4	1.98	7	4.67
RO Romania	21.53	3.61	7	1.99	3	1.49	4	2.67
RS Serbia	7.37	1.24	11	3.13	5	2.48	6	4.00
SE Sweden	9.18	1.54	7	1.99	5	2.48	2	1.33
SI Slovenia	2.01	0.34	21	5.97	10	4.95	11	7.33
SK Slovakia	5.4	0.91	6	1.70	4	1.98	2	1.33
TR Turkey	70.59	11.84	2	0.57	1	0.50	1	0.67
UK	61.19	10.26	30	8.52	19	9.41	11	7.33
XK Kosova	1.8	0.30	1	0.28	0	0.00	1	0.67